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#### Abstract

The goal of paper is to develop an algorithm that evaluates students then places them depending on their desired choices according to dependant preferences. The developed algorithm is also used to implement software. The success and accuracy of the software as well as the algorithm are tested by applying it to ability test at Beykent University. This ability test is repeated several times in order to fill all available places at Fine Art Faculty departments in every academic year. It has been shown that this algorithm is very fast and rigorous after application of 2008-2009 and 2009-20010 academic years.
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## 1. Introduction

As a result of improved and developed technologies, new definitions and concepts such as information society and information technology are brought into our life. Recently most of the practical problems can be done on computer, but it is very difficult to accomplish without computers. One of the practical problems is assignment problem faced in different forms.

Classroom allocation problem is commonly faced assignment problem that researcher tried to solve in the literature [1-4]. At the beginning of each teaching semester, several thousand students at the same time desire to attend different courses. Each course has preferences in terms of the lecturer, classify of the classroom, the location, available equipment, popularity of the lecture, essentiality, etc. A central system must make a fair assignment according to the preferences, then satisfy them as much as possible.

[^0]Another assignment case studied by the authors is student replacement with couples [5]. In [5] the student replacement problem is determined by a set of position types, the number of available positions of each types, and the students' strict preferences over position types.

Instances of the allocation problem arise in house allocation scenarios [6,7]. Such situations are common in such counties where there are large number of government owned flats or houses [8].

Assignment problem is not limited to house or class allocation. Miao [9] introduced adaptive assignment organisation to improve learning capability of young learners. The proposed model in [9] provided students with an electronic assignment book. A core component of this book was a personal software agent that monitors the learners' progress.

The targeted assignment problem is OSYS (Student Selection and Placement Examination) type placement. Every year, more than one million students attend this exam to be placed to one of the university departments. Students are taken several types of questions that have different weightings. Student also choose desired universities as well as departments according to their marks that are calculated by using number of correct answers of each type of question with weighting. This system is used in Turkey since 1974. Assignment need to be done in correct way but for large number of students this process is really so hard without an algorithm or software system. This paper introduces a fast and rigorous algorithm that evaluates students and places them depending on multiple grade system. This developed project has been tested with success and accuracy of results in the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 academic years at the University of Beykent.

## 2. Student Assignment Algorithm

In assignment problem, there are students, their grades and selections. They are placed according to their desired choice in bound of capacity of the selected unit. Students must not placed more than one desired unit as well as the place where they are not chosen. Every selection has its own grade according to exam. In order to analyse the algorithm we need to have units, their capacity and students. Let's assume we have units and their capacity as given in Table 1. As shown in Table 1, there are 4 units and 26 available places.

Table 1. List of units

| Unit No | Unit Name | Capacity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U1 | Computer Engineering | 5 |
| U2 | Medical | 7 |
| U3 | Economy | 6 |
| U4 | English Literature | 8 |

There are list of students given in Table 2 and their selections with grades given in Table 3. 26, which is total capacity, of the 36 students need to assign to the units according to their selections and selection's grades.

Table 2. List of students

| No | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| S1 | Ali |
| S2 | Mehmet |
| S3 | Can |
| S4 | Ayşe |
| S5 | Fatma |
| S6 | Hakan |
| S7 | Elif |
| S8 | Ahmet |
| S9 | Ümit |
| S10 | Sevgi |
| S11 | Gözde |
| S12 | Handan |


| No | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| S13 | Zeki |
| S14 | Musa |
| S15 | İsa |
| S16 | Emine |
| S17 | Sibel |
| S18 | Semih |
| S19 | Gülben |
| S20 | Selda |
| S21 | Arda |
| S22 | Gökhan |
| S23 | Hakk1 |
| S24 | Ceyda |


| No | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| S25 | Ïlknur |
| S26 | Esra |
| S27 | Deniz |
| S28 | Oğuz |
| S29 | Hasan |
| S30 | Sezen |
| S31 | Türkan |
| S32 | Tülay |
| S33 | Yavuz |
| S34 | Osman |
| S35 | Fatih |
| S36 | Yasemin |

Table 3. The selections and points of students

| No | I. Selection / <br> Point | II. Selec. / <br> Point | III. Selec. / <br> Point | IV. Selec. / <br> Point |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 1 | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 90$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 94$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 99$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 98$ |
| S 2 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 93$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 95$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 93$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 97$ |
| S 3 | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 100$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 97$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 93$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 91$ |
| S 4 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 98$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 92$ |  |  |
| S 5 | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 97$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 92$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 96$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 100$ |
| S 6 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 97$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 94$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 95$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 94$ |
| S 7 | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 99$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 95$ |  |  |
| S 8 | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 92$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 96$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 96$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 98$ |
| S 9 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 92$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 90$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 91$ |  |
| S 10 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 99$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 96$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 94$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 95$ |
| S 11 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 91$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 93$ |  |  |
| S 12 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 100$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 91$ |  |  |
| S 13 | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 76$ |  |  |  |


| S 14 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 70$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S 15 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 89$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 88$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 77$ |  |
| S 16 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 88$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 77$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 70$ |  |
| S 17 | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 86$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 78$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 75$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 85$ |
| S 18 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 84$ | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 89$ | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 76$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 74$ |
| S 19 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 71$ | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 86$ |  |  |
| S 20 | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 72$ |  |  |  |
| S 21 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 73$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 71$ |  |  |
| S 22 | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 87$ | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 79$ |  |  |
| S 23 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 80$ |  |  |  |
| S 24 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 79$ |  |  |  |
| S 25 | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 67$ |  |  |  |
| S 26 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 68$ |  |  |  |
| S 27 | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 65$ |  |  |  |
| S 28 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 69$ |  |  |  |
| S 29 | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 79$ |  |  |  |
| S 30 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 66$ |  |  |  |
| S 31 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 68$ |  | $\mathrm{U} / 76$ |  |
| S 32 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 66$ |  |  |  |
| S 33 | $\mathrm{U} 4 / 78$ |  | $\mathrm{U} / 65$ |  |
| S 34 | $\mathrm{U} 1 / 55$ | $\mathrm{U} / 65$ |  |  |
| S 35 | $\mathrm{U} 3 / 67$ |  |  |  |
| S 36 | $\mathrm{U} 2 / 65$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

The assignment algorithm is run by repeating 3 nested steps. There are 2 control flags which are called active and placed flags in the algorithm. The meanings of the stages according to flags are given below:

- $\quad$ Active $=1$ and Placed $=0$ : All selections's flags are in this position for initial. This status means that the selection can be be used in assignment loop to place. We call this status as Status I.
- $\quad$ Active $=0$ and Placed $=1:$ Selection is placed and it wont be used in fortcoming assignment loop anymore. Let's call this status as Status II.
- $\quad$ Active $=0$ and Placed $=0$ : Selection is not be placed and it wont be used in assignment loop anymore. This status is called as Status III.

STEP I: Each unit orders its own selections that are in Status I by descending order of calculated points. Then each unit places the top selections according their vacant capacity or in other words make placed selection's active flag as 0 and placed flag as 1 . As a result of this step Computer Engineer placed 5, Medical 7, Economy 6 and English Literature 8 selections respectively. The placement can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. Appliying of Step I

| Computer Eng. |  |  | Medical |  |  |  | Economy |  |  | Eng. Literature |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point |  |
| S5 | 1 | 97 | S12 | 1 | 100 | S5 | 4 | 100 | S3 | 1 | 100 |  |
| S8 | 3 | 96 | S10 | 1 | 99 | S1 | 3 | 99 | S7 | 1 | 99 |  |
| S10 | 4 | 95 | S4 | 1 | 98 | S8 | 4 | 98 | S1 | 4 | 98 |  |
| S6 | 4 | 94 | S6 | 1 | 97 | S3 | 2 | 97 | S2 | 4 | 97 |  |
| S2 | 3 | 93 | S8 | 2 | 96 | S10 | 2 | 96 | S5 | 3 | 96 |  |
| S4 | 2 | 92 | S2 | 2 | 95 | S7 | 2 | 95 | S6 | 3 | 95 |  |
| S3 | 4 | 91 | S1 | 2 | 94 | S6 | 2 | 94 | S10 | 3 | 94 |  |
| S1 | 1 | 90 | S3 | 3 | 93 | S2 | 1 | 93 | S11 | 2 | 93 |  |
| S22 | 2 | 79 | S5 | 2 | 92 | S9 | 1 | 92 | S8 | 1 | 92 |  |
| S15 | 3 | 77 | S9 | 3 | 91 | S11 | 1 | 91 | S12 | 2 | 91 |  |
| S13 | 1 | 76 | S24 | 1 | 79 | S15 | 1 | 89 | S9 | 2 | 90 |  |
| S17 | 3 | 75 | S17 | 2 | 78 | S16 | 1 | 88 | S18 | 2 | 89 |  |
| S18 | 4 | 74 | S16 | 2 | 77 | S19 | 2 | 86 | S15 | 2 | 88 |  |
| S20 | 1 | 72 | S18 | 3 | 76 | S17 | 4 | 85 | S22 | 1 | 87 |  |
| S21 | 2 | 71 | S21 | 1 | 73 | S18 | 1 | 84 | S17 | 1 | 86 |  |
| S16 | 3 | 70 | S19 | 1 | 71 | S23 | 1 | 80 | S29 | 1 | 79 |  |
| S25 | 1 | 67 | S14 | 1 | 70 | S26 | 1 | 68 | S33 | 1 | 78 |  |
| S27 | 1 | 65 | S28 | 1 | 69 | S35 | 1 | 67 | S34 | 3 | 76 |  |
| S34 | 1 | 55 | S31 | 1 | 68 | S30 | 1 | 66 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S32 | 1 | 66 | S34 | 2 | 65 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S36 | 1 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Status I
Status II

STEP II: In the first step, the algorithm does not control that students might be placed more than one selection. In this step, we control the placed students if they are placed more than one selection. For this step, as see in Table 5, algorithm orders all placed selections for each placed student. There are 11 different students are placed after Step I for the first iteration. For instance S 1 is placed for his 3 of 4 selections or S 4 is placed for his 1 of 2 selections. There must be no more than one placed selection for any students. So we must apply Step 2 to Table 5 to passive those selections.

Table 5. Ordered selection of selected students

| S1 |  | S2 |  | S3 |  | S4 |  | S5 |  | S6 |  | S7 |  | S8 |  | S10 |  | S11 |  | S12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Selec. | Unit | Selec. | Unt | Selec. | Unt | Selec. | Unit | Selec. | Unit | Selec. | Unit | Selec. | Unit | Seler. | Unit | Selec. | Unit | Selec. | Unit | Selec. |
| U2 | 2 | U2 | 2 | U4 | 1 | U2 | 1 | U1 | 1 | U2 | 1 | U4 | 1 | U2 | 2 | U2 | 1 | U4 | 2 | U2 | 1 |
| U3 | 3 | U1 | 3 | U3 | 2 | U1 | 2 | U2 | 2 | U4 | 3 | U3 | 2 | U1 | 3 | U3 | 2 | U3 | 1 | U4 | 2 |
| U4 | 4 | U4 | 4 | U2 | 3 | - | - | 04 | 3 | U1 | 4 | - | - | U3 | 4 | U4 | 3 | - | - | - | - |
| u1 | 1 | U3 | 1 | U1 | 4 | - | - | U3 | 4 | U3 | 2 | - | - | U4 | 1 | U1 | 4 | - | - | - | - |

If students are placed more than one selection then algorithm turns placed selections to passive, other words make then Status III except for minimum number of placed selections. If a student is placed in his first choice, then other selections of that student are set to Status III. That means that this student is already placed for certain. As seen in Table 5, students with S3, S4, S5, S6, S7, S10 and S12 numbers are placed in their exact choices. So all of those students' selections except for their first choices are set to Status III. However, students S1, S2, S8 and S11 are not placed to their first selections so algorithm set placed selections to passive or in another words set them to Status III except for the minimum order of the placed selections. After applying Step II result can be seen in Table 6. As seen in Table 6 students who placed in their first selection have no more active selection although students who are not placed to their first selections can still have.

Table 6. After applying Step II


After applying Step II to Table 4, we have the result in Table 7.

Table 7. Step II -Iteration I

| Computer Eng. |  |  | Medical |  |  | Economy |  |  | Eng. Literature |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Selection No | Point | No | Selection No | Point | No | Selection No | Point | No | Selection No | Point |
| S5 | 1 | 97 | S12 | 1 | 100 | S5 | 4 | 100 | S3 | 1 | 100 |
| S8 | 3 | 96 | S10 | 1 | 99 | S1 | 3 | 99 | S7 | 1 | 99 |
| S10 | 4 | 95 | S4 | 1 | 98 | S8 | 4 | 98 | S1 | 4 | 98 |
| S6 | 4 | 94 | S6 | 1 | 97 | S3 | 2 | 97 | S2 | 4 | 97 |
| S2 | 3 | 93 | S8 | 2 | 96 | S10 | 2 | 96 | S5 | 3 | 96 |
| S4 | 2 | 92 | S2 | 2 | 95 | S7 | 2 | 95 | S6 | 3 | 95 |
| S3 | 4 | 91 | S1 | 2 | 94 | S6 | 2 | 94 | S10 | 3 | 94 |
| S1 | 1 | 90 | S3 | 3 | 93 | S2 | 1 | 93 | S11 | 2 | 93 |
| S22 | 2 | 79 | S5 | 2 | 92 | S9 | 1 | 92 | S8 | 1 | 92 |
| S15 | 3 | 77 | S9 | 3 | 91 | S11 | 1 | 91 | S12 | 2 | 91 |
| S13 | 1 | 76 | S24 | 1 | 79 | S15 | 1 | 89 | S9 | 2 | 90 |
| S17 | 3 | 75 | S17 | 2 | 78 | S16 | 1 | 88 | S18 | 2 | 89 |
| S18 | 4 | 74 | S16 | 2 | 77 | S19 | 2 | 86 | S15 | 2 | 88 |
| S20 | 1 | 72 | S18 | 3 | 76 | S17 | 4 | 85 | S22 | 1 | 87 |
| S21 | 2 | 71 | S21 | 1 | 73 | S18 | 1 | 84 | S17 | 1 | 86 |
| S16 | 3 | 70 | S19 | 1 | 71 | S23 | 1 | 80 | S29 | 1 | 79 |
| S25 | 1 | 67 | S14 | 1 | 70 | S26 | 1 | 68 | S33 | 1 | 78 |
| S27 | 1 | 65 | S28 | 1 | 69 | S35 | 1 | 67 | S34 | 3 | 76 |
| S34 | 1 | 55 | S31 | 1 | 68 | S30 | 1 | 66 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S32 | 1 | 66 | S34 | 2 | 65 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S36 | 1 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

STEP III: This step is for controlling, if there are any free capacity in units and any selection in Status I for those units that have free capacity. If this control is return true then algorithm start with Step I again for new iteration. These steps follow each others until there is no free capacity or no more selection in Status I for unit that has free capacity. After 6 iterations, the result can be displayed as seen in Table 8. As seen, there is no more free capacity or no more active selections (Status I) belongs to units that have free capacity. So the control returns false and the algorithm break itself from placement loop.

After second iteration (applying of Step I-II) we have next result as seen in Table 8;

Table 8 : Result of second iteration

| Computer Eng. |  |  | Medical |  |  | Economy |  |  | Eng. Literature |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point |
| S5 | 1 | 97 | S12 | 1 | 100 | S5 | 4 | 100 | S3 | 1 | 100 |
| S8 | 3 | 96 | S10 | 1 | 99 | S1 | 3 | 99 | S7 | 1 | 99 |
| S10 | 4 | 95 | S4 | 1 | 98 | S8 | 4 | 98 | S1 | 4 | 98 |
| S6 | 4 | 94 | S6 | 1 | 97 | S3 | 2 | 97 | S2 | 4 | 97 |
| S2 | 3 | 93 | S8 | 2 | 96 | S10 | 2 | 96 | S5 | 3 | 96 |
| S4 | 2 | 92 | S2 | 2 | 95 | S7 | 2 | 95 | S6 | 3 | 95 |
| S3 | 4 | 91 | S1 | 2 | 94 | S6 | 2 | 94 | S10 | 3 | 94 |
| S1 | 1 | 90 | S3 | 3 | 93 | S2 | 1 | 93 | S11 | 2 | 93 |
| S22 | 2 | 79 | S5 | 2 | 92 | S9 | 1 | 92 | S8 | 1 | 92 |
| S15 | 3 | 77 | S9 | 3 | 91 | S11 | 1 | 91 | S12 | 2 | 91 |
| S13 | 1 | 76 | S24 | 1 | 79 | S15 | 1 | 89 | S9 | 2 | 90 |
| S17 | 3 | 75 | S17 | 2 | 78 | S16 | 1 | 88 | S18 | 2 | 89 |
| S18 | 4 | 74 | S16 | 2 | 77 | S19 | 2 | 86 | S15 | 2 | 88 |
| S20 | 1 | 72 | S18 | 3 | 76 | S17 | 4 | 85 | S22 | 1 | 87 |
| S21 | 2 | 71 | S21 | 1 | 73 | S18 | 1 | 84 | S17 | 1 | 86 |
| S16 | 3 | 70 | S19 | 1 | 71 | S23 | 1 | 80 | S29 | 1 | 79 |
| S25 | 1 | 67 | S14 | 1 | 70 | S26 | 1 | 68 | S33 | 1 | 78 |
| S27 | 1 | 65 | S28 | 1 | 69 | S35 | 1 | 67 | S34 | 3 | 76 |
| S34 | 1 | 55 | S31 | 1 | 68 | S30 | 1 | 66 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S32 | 1 | 66 | S34 | 2 | 65 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S36 | 1 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\square$ Status I
Status II
Status III

After 4 iterations we have final result as seen in Table 9.

Table 9. Final Iteration - Result

| Computer Eng. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Medical |  |  | Economy |  |  | Eng. Literature |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No | Point | No | Selection <br> No |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S5 | 1 | 97 | S12 | 1 | 100 | S5 | 4 | 100 | S3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S8 | 3 | 96 | S10 | 1 | 99 | S1 | 3 | 99 | S7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S10 | 4 | 95 | S4 | 1 | 98 | S8 | 4 | 98 | S1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S6 | 4 | 94 | S6 | 1 | 97 | S3 | 2 | 97 | S2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S2 | 3 | 93 | S8 | 2 | 96 | S10 | 2 | 96 | S5 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S4 | 2 | 92 | S2 | 2 | 95 | S7 | 2 | 95 | S6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S3 | 4 | 91 | S1 | 2 | 94 | S6 | 2 | 94 | S10 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S1 | 1 | 90 | S3 | 3 | 93 | S2 | 1 | 93 | S11 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S22 | 2 | 79 | S5 | 2 | 92 | S9 | 1 | 92 | S8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S15 | 3 | 77 | S9 | 3 | 91 | S11 | 1 | 91 | S12 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S13 | 1 | 76 | S24 | 1 | 79 | S15 | 1 | 89 | S9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S17 | 3 | 75 | S17 | 2 | 78 | S16 | 1 | 88 | S18 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S18 | 4 | 74 | S16 | 2 | 77 | S19 | 2 | 86 | S15 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S20 | 1 | 72 | S18 | 3 | 76 | S17 | 4 | 85 | S22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S21 | 2 | 71 | S21 | 1 | 73 | S18 | 1 | 84 | S17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S16 | 3 | 70 | S19 | 1 | 71 | S23 | 1 | 80 | S29 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S25 | 1 | 67 | S14 | 1 | 70 | S26 | 1 | 68 | S33 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S27 | 1 | 65 | S28 | 1 | 69 | S35 | 1 | 67 | S34 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S34 | 1 | 55 | S31 | 1 | 68 | S30 | 1 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S32 | 1 | 66 | S34 | 2 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | S36 | 1 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Status I
Status II
Status III

As a result of these steps, placement status of students can be seen in Table 10 and point of students who placed depending on units can be seen in Table 11.

Table 10. Placement status of students

| No | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| S1 | Ali |
| S2 | Mehmet |
| S3 | Can |
| S4 | Ayşe |
| S5 | Fatma |
| S6 | Hakan |
| S7 | Elif |
| S8 | Ahmet |
| S9 | Ümit |
| S10 | Sevgi |
| S11 | GSzde |
| S12 | Handan |


| No | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| S13 | Zeki |
| S14 | Musa |
| S15 | İsa |
| S16 | Emine |
| S17 | Sibel |
| S18 | Semih |
| S19 | Gülben |
| S20 | Selda |
| S21 | Arda |
| S22 | GSkhan |
| S23 | Hakk1 |
| S24 | Ceyda |


| No | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| S25 | İlknur |
| S26 | Esra |
| S27 | Deniz |
| S28 | Oğuz |
| S29 |  |
| Hasan |  |
| Placed |  |
| Placed |  |

Table 11. Placed students according to units

| Computer Eng. |  |  | Medical |  |  | Economy |  |  | Eng. Literature |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name | Order | Point | Name | Order | Point | Name | Order | Point | Name | Order | Point |
| Fatma | 1 | 95 | Handan | 1 | 100 | Mehmet | 1 | 93 | Can | 1 | 100 |
| Ali | 1 | 90 | Sevgi | 2 | 99 | Ümit | 1 | 92 | Elif | 1 | 99 |
| Zeki | 1 | 76 | Ayşe | 1 | 98 | Gözde | 1 | 91 | Ahmet | 1 | 92 |
| Selda | 1 | 72 | Hakan | 1 | 97 | İsa | 1 | 89 | Gökhan | 1 | 87 |
| İlknur | 1 | 67 | Ceyda | 1 | 79 | Emine | 1 | 88 | Sibel | 1 | 86 |
|  |  |  | Arda | 1 | 73 | Semih | 1 | 84 | Hasan | 1 | 79 |
|  |  |  | Gülben | 1 | 71 |  |  |  | Yavuz | 1 | 78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Osman | 3 | 76 |

The Algorism's flow chart can be seen in Figure 1. At first step, algorithm runs a control function which returns 1 or 0 before starting to loop. If control function returns 1 at initial which means that there is at least a unit that has active selections and there is still free capacity for that unit. In the loop, it gets list of empty capacities of units (EC). For each unit in EC that has free capacity we select active selections of the unit by ordering points from max to min (US). In a new loop, place the selections in US until all the free capacity are filled. After doing this for all units, now we apply Step II. The list of placed students is taken (ST). In the loop, for placed student, all selections of which their order bigger than minimum order of placed selection set passive.

## 3. Conclusion

In this contribution, new student assignment system has been developed. In this paper introduced novel algorithm that evaluates students and place them depending on multiple grade system. This implemented software was used with success and accuracy of results in the 2008-2009 and 2009-2010 academic years at the University of Beykent.


Figure 1. The flow chart of algoritm
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